SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**MS: 2012 AJEA 2206**
Manuscript Number:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Title of the Manuscript:	**Farmers' agronomic and social evaluation of the productivity, yield and cooking quality of four cassava varieties.**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

The study address it s objectives and contributed to knowledge accept the manuscript for publication after minor correction	**The study address it s objectives and contributed to knowledge, accept the manuscript for publication after minor correction**	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments Suitable for publication	Suitable for publication	
Minor REVISION comments **Effect correction on references on Adjei-Nsiah 2006,AdoaH M 2009,FermontA M2009 ,MOFAs,2004,2009,2010&2012 and Tokpo2009pages of where it was cited were not indicated.**	Effect correction on references on Adjei-Nsiah 2006,AdoaH M 2009,FermontA M2009 ,MOFAs,2004,2009,2010&2012 and Tokpo2009pages of where it was cited were not indicated.	I do not agree with the reviewer because this is not the style of the Journal and the journal does not specify this in the authors' instructions. According to the authors instructions author shoulds strictly follow the style of the journal
Optional/General comments		